



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,564	03/30/2004	Robert Hasbun	MP1443 130199	3689
64331 7590 06/02/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850				
EXAMINER				
HENECHAN, MATTHEW E				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2139				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/02/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/812,564

Applicant(s)

HASBUN, ROBERT

Examiner

Matthew Heneghan

Art Unit

2139

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Matthew Heneghan.(3) Kip Werking.(2) Michael Miranda.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 29 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 9 and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Poisner.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner and the attorneys discussed Poisner as it applied to claims 9, and 15. No agreement was made. The attorneys inquired about the possibility of overcoming the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103 by pointing out common assignment of the application and Poisner as per 35 U.S.C. 103(c). The Examiner stated that a submission would have to be made for proper consideration. See MPEP 706.02(I).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Matthew Heneghan/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.